

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/531,632	10/31/2005	Jeong-11 Seo	51876P839	6223		
8791 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			LEE, PING			
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2614			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			01/12/2010	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/531,632	SEO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ping Lee	2614		

	Fing Lee	2014						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 14 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I	).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fe under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filler may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since					
AMENDMENTS								
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> </ol>			cause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		i L below),						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett		ducing or simplifying th	e issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	and the second s	nated alabas						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non Co	mpliant Amandment (	OTOL 224)					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		Inpliant Amendment (I	- I OL-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s)would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the					
7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.4.5.8.9 and 12-14.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. The Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								
	/Ping Lee/							
	Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 2614						

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's argument that the sound sources are not disclosed as being three-dimensional and may be one or two dimensional is not persuasive. Applicant agreed that Potard uses three-dimensional coordinates to define a complex sound source, such as a choir. By arranging the choir with a size expandable in three-dimensional coordinates in a virtual sound environment, which is 3-D, the choir is a three-dimensional source. Furthermore, Potard discloses "3-D Audio Scenes" in the title and similar terms have appeared throughout the article. Without a three-dimensional sound source, one would question whether Potard can simulate "3-D Audio Scenes". What is a two-dimensional sound source? And what is a non-dimensional source? Applicant has not defined them in the the argument. Potard discloses many specificant sour documents of the surface of th